C.-L. Ky  $\cdot$  J. Louarn  $\cdot$  B. Guyot  $\cdot$  A. Charrier S. Hamon  $\cdot$  M. Noirot

# Relations between and inheritance of chlorogenic acid contents in an interspecific cross between *Coffea pseudozanguebariae* and *Coffea liberica* var 'dewevrei'

Received: 20 July 1998 / Accepted: 14 August 1998

Abstract Chlorogenic acids (CGA) are phenolic compounds commonly found in green coffee beans. The main CGA classes are caffeoylquinic acids (CQA), dicaffeoylquinic acids (diCQA), and feruloylquinic acids (FQA). Each contains three isomers differing in the number and identity of the acylating residues. An interspecific cross between *Coffea pseudozanquebariae* (low CGA content) and C. liberica var 'dewevrei' (high CGA content) was investigated for CGA contents in F<sub>1</sub> and back-cross hybrids. Relations within and between CGA classes were studied and confirmed the known biosynthesis pathway. A single major gene was noted for the 3-FQA isomer; absence was dominant. Additivity was found for most other isomers either with or without the transformation of variables. Conversely, most ratios were not additive, due to a curvilinear relation between some isomers. The consequences for breeding both in terms of cup taste improvement and disease resistance are discussed.

**Key words** Chlorogenic acids • Quantitative inheritance • Interspecific cross • *Coffea pseudozanguebariae* • *Coffea liberica* var 'dewevrei'

Communicated by H. F. Linskens

C. L. Ky (⊠) · S. Hamon · M. Noirot ORSTOM, Laboratoire GeneTrop, BP 5045, F-34032 Montpellier Cedex 1, France Fax: +33467416222 E-mail: kychin@orstom.fr

J. Louarn ORSTOM, Station de Génétique du caféier, BP 434, Man, Côte-d'Ivoire

B. Guyot

CIRAD-CP, Laboratoire Chimie-Technologie, BP 5035, F-34032 Montpellier Cedex 1, France

A. Charrier

ENSA, UFR Génétique et Amélioration des Plantes, place Viala, F-34060 Montpellier, France

### Introduction

The esters of quinic acid are commonly named chlorogenic acids (CGA) and constitute the major phenolic secondary metabolites found in the plant kingdom. They control germination and cell growth, participate in defense mechanisms against phytopathogens, or act as lignin precursors. CGA are particularly abundant in the coffee plant (Streuli 1970; Amorin et al. 1974; Maier 1987), especially in green beans and their derivatives - roasted coffee beans, soluble coffee powders, and coffee brews (Clifford 1985a; Balyaya and Clifford 1995). Six classes of CGA are present in coffee trees: caffeoylquinic acids (CQA), dicaffeoylquinic acids (diCQA), feruloylquinic acids (FQA), p-coumaoylquinic acids (pCoQA), caffeoylferuloylquinic acids (CFQA), and feruloylcaffeoylquinic acids (FCQA). CGA-like components where the cafeic unit is coupled with an amino acid such as tyrosine are also present in trace amounts (Clifford et al. 1989a; Correia et al. 1995). The first three classes represent about 98% of the CGA content (Clifford and Staniforth 1977; Morishita et al. 1989). Each of these classes is commonly divided into three isomers on the basis of the number and position of the acylating residues (Clifford 1985b).

The CGA content of coffee beans modifies cup taste through direct and indirect effects. The direct effect is due to the presence of CGA after roasting [36% in C. canephora var 'Robusta' (Lentner and Deatherage 1959; Feldman et al. 1969)]. For example, diCQA is known to increase astringency (Ohiokpehai et al. 1982; Clifford and Ohiokpehai 1982). The indirect effects are due to molecular changes during roasting which have positive or negative influences. Degradation of CGA into phenolic derivatives (Leloup et al. 1995) and the inhibition of pyrazine formation by Maillard's reactions (Guyot et al. 1997) have negative effects. Conversely, the CGA reaction with trigonelline, sucrose, and amino acids which enriches headspace volatile profiles recorded by gas chromatography is a positive effect (De Maria et al. 1994). To summarize, the quality of the beverage increases when the CGA content decreases. This largely explains taste differences between Robusta and Arabica coffees (Clifford 1985b; Guyot et al. 1988, 1996).

Chlorogenic acid content increases resistance to *Ceratocystis fimbriata* at high concentrations but stimulates pathogen growth at low concentrations (Echandi and Fernandez 1962; Zuluaga et al. 1971). Coffee species producing more CGA will be well protected. It seems that the effects of CGA content on taste and disease resistance conflict.

CGA content in green coffee beans varies greatly between species (Clifford and Jarvis 1988; Clifford et al. 1989b; Anthony et al. 1993; Rakotomalala et al. 1993) - from 0.14% dry matter basis (dmb) in Coffea rhamnifolia Bridson to 9.90% dmb in C. sessiliflora Bridson. The level of CQA ranges from 61% CGA content in C. sp Mouloundou to 100% in C. farafanganensis Leroy. The diCQA level reaches 36% CGA content, whereas that of FQA decreases to 12% CGA content in C. canephora. If we consider the African continent, a CGA gradient exists between East African and West African species (Anthony et al. 1993). The large range of CQA, diCQA, and FQA contents in the genus Coffea and their implications for organoleptic qualities and disease resistance emphasize the importance of CGA in breeding programs.

C. pseudozanguebariae Bridson (PSE), which has about 1.3% dmb CGA (Anthony et al. 1993) was hybridized with C. liberica var 'dewevrei' (DEW), an anciently cultivated species from West Africa with approximately 6.9% dmb CGA (Anthony et al. 1993).  $F_1$ and backcross hybrids were obtained (Louarn 1982, 1992). The objectives of the study presented in this paper were (1) to investigate the quantitative inheritance of CGA and (2) to study the relations between the isomers.

### Materials and methods

#### Plant material

Plant material was maintained at the Agricultural Station ORSTOM-IDEFOR (Man, Côte-d'Ivoire). Parental species from the 1996 harvest were investigated. For each species, beans were separately harvested from seven trees, which were native to the Republic of Central Africa or Kenya for DEW and PSE, respectively. The  $F_1$  generation included 7 hybrids from a controlled cross between genotype 8044 (PSE) and 5851 (DEW) parents. Biochemical analysis was performed on the 1995 harvest. The second generation included 14 hybrids which consisted of  $7F_1 \times DEW$  (BCDEW) and  $7F_1 \times PSE$  (BCPSE). Twelve hybrids BCDEW were harvested in both 1995 and 1997 for the environmental effects study.

#### Sample preparation

All coffee cherries were harvested at complete maturity and depulped using the wet processing method. Fifty green beans per tree were immediately frozen using liquid nitrogen to avoid CGA degradation by heat during the crushing. A 2-min crushing in a ball mill (Dangoumill) yielded a fine powder, which was divided into six samples, three to estimate water content and three for the extraction and analysis of CGA.

### Extraction and purification

Extraction and purification of CGA were accomplished using a fast and accurate method. Coefficients of variation are 3-5% for CQA, 1-2% for FQA and 5-7% for diCQA (Ky et al. 1997). Each sample was extracted in a 250-ml Erlenmeyer flask. Each flask contained about 250 mg of powder, 100 ml of a methanol: water (70/30 v/v) mixture and 0.5% sodium bisulfite. The Erlenmeyer flasks were shaken overnight at  $4^{\circ}$ C in darkness on a stirring table at 125 rpm. The organic extracts were directly treated with Carrez reagents (0.5 ml each). Solutions were then filtered through a 0.2-µm filter and directly analyzed by high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC).

Analytical HPLC

Chromatography was carried out on a system consisting of two Waters Associates Model 510 pumping units, an automated sample injector (Waters 717 plus autosampler), a variable-wavelength UV detector (Waters 996 Photodiode Array Detector), a  $C_{18}$  pre-column and a 250 × 4-mm Merck Superspher 100 RP 18 column, 5-µm particle size. The elution program used two solvents, A and B. Solvent A was 2 mM phosphoric acid, pH 2.7, containing 5% methanol. Solvent B was methanol containing 5% 2 mM phosphoric acid, pH 3.9. These two mobile phases were filtered (0.2 µm), degassed and sonicated (Ney, 300 ultrasonik) before use. Sample or standard (10 µl) was analyzed at room temperature using the following elution program: A–B mixture (75/25 v/v) to pure solvent B in 45 min of linear gradient. Flow rate was 0.8 ml/min. UV detection was at 325.2 nm, which corresponds to maximum CGA absorption.

The processing order of extracts was fully randomized for HPLC analysis. Every ten extracts, a 5-CQA standard (ref. D11.080-9, Aldrich-Chimie; concentration 100 mg/l) was used to verify the stability of the HPLC measurements. Every 40 extracts, the  $C_{18}$  pre-column and the 100 RP 18 column were washed overnight with a solution of pure methanol at a flow rate of 0.2 ml/min.

CGA identification and quantification

Chlorogenic acid isomers were identified by means of their chromatograms (at 280 nm and 325 nm), retention times, and UV spectrum (Ky et al. 1997), which were compared to Rakatomalala's results (1992). Identification of CQA isomers was confirmed by isomerization of 5-CQA (ref. D11.080-9, Aldrich-Chimie) following the method proposed by Trugo and Macrae (1984).

Quantification was achieved by peak-area measurement (PA) and by comparison with the standard 5-CQA. A 5-CQA calibration curve (C = 2.768  $10^{-5} \times PA$ ) was generated using three replicate points at 25, 50, 75, 100, 125, and 150 mg/l. CGA isomer contents were expressed in percentage of dry matter basis (% dmb).

Statistical analysis

All results were analyzed using Statistica software.

The relative importance of between-group (PSE, BCPSE,  $F_1$ , BCDEW, and DEW) and within-group diversities was analyzed using the nested model of ANOVA with two factors: group (fixed effects) and plants nested in group (random effects).

Differences between harvest years in the 12 BCDEW hybrids were tested using a crossed model of ANOVA with two factors: hybrids (random effects) and harvest year (fixed effects).

Additivity was tested using linear fitting between expected values (PSE = 0, BCPSE = 0.25,  $F_1 = 0.5$ , BCDEW = 0.75, DEW = 1) and observed data.

Relations between isomers were supposed to be allometric  $(y = ax^b)$ . Fitting was done after double transformation of the variables [Y = log(y) and X = log(x)] to obtain a linear model. When estimation of the b parameter was close to 1, a classic linear regression without transformation was applied to data. Figures represented relations for initial data, but R<sup>2</sup> estimations are those obtained from transformed data. Within-group relations were studied using covariance analysis (within-group regression and parallelism tests).

### Results

Eight peaks were observed in DEW instead of the nine expected. Isomerization of pure 5-CQA led to two peaks for 3 isomers showing that 5- and 4-CQA peaks were in fact confounded (Ky et al. 1997).

**Table 1** Chlorogenic acid contents. Contents are expressed in percentage dry matter basis (%dmb). Table includes main group characteristics (2nd to 5th columns) with averages (top number) and minimum-maximum values (lower number), and F tests (6th and

7th columns) with test results (top number) and factorial contributions (lower number in brackets). Results of the Newmann & Keuls test are indexed with letters

|                     | Averages and         | ranges                | Between-                        | Within-                           |                    |                                    |                                     |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                     | DEW                  | BCDEW                 | $F_1$                           | BCPSE                             | PSE                | group<br>differences<br>$F_{4,30}$ | group<br>differences<br>$F_{30,70}$ |
| CGA                 | 7.97ª                | 5.81 <sup>b</sup>     | 4.36°                           | 2.50 <sup>d</sup>                 | 1.51°              | 114***                             | 41.2 ***                            |
| CQA                 | 6.2-8.8              | 5.0-6.6               | 3.6-5.2                         | 1.9-3.1                           | 1.3-1.8            | (93.9%)                            | (5./%)                              |
|                     | 6.33ª                | 4.41                  | 3.34°                           | 1.81                              | 1.40 <sup>ª</sup>  | 103***                             | 53.9***                             |
| diCQA               | 5.1-7.5              | 3.6-4.9               | 2.7-3.8                         | 1.3-2.3                           | 1.2–1.7            | (93.3%)                            | (6.3%)                              |
|                     | 1.18ª                | 0.97*                 | 0.67°                           | 0.45 <sup>a</sup>                 | 0.04 <sup>e</sup>  | 54.2***                            | 32.5***                             |
|                     | 0.9–1.4              | 0.8–1.2               | 0.5-0.8                         | 0.2–0.9                           | 0.02-0.06          | (87.8%)                            | (11.2%)                             |
| FQA                 | 0.465ª               | 0.431ª                | 0.344ª                          | 0.236 <sup>a</sup> , <sup>b</sup> | 0.075 <sup>b</sup> | 6.11***                            | 178***                              |
|                     | 0.24 - 0.82          | 0.13-0.90             | 0.20-0.61                       | 0.09–0.43                         | 0.04-0.13          | (41.9%)                            | (57.1%)                             |
| CQA/CGA             | 0.794                | 0.760 <sup>a, b</sup> | 0.767 <sup>a, b</sup>           | 0.725ª                            | 0.925°             | 25.8***                            | 126***                              |
|                     | 0.76 - 0.86          | 0.71 - 0.81           | 0.72-0.83                       | 0.63-0.81                         | 0.87-0.95          | (77.7%)                            | (21.7%)                             |
| diCQA/CGA           | 0.149ª               | 0.167ª                | 0.155ª                          | 0.176ª                            | 0.025 <sup>b</sup> | 17.2***                            | 127***                              |
|                     | 0.11 - 0.18          | 0.14 - 0.19           | 0.11-0.19                       | 0.10 - 0.32                       | 0.01 - 0.04        | (69.4%)                            | (29.9%)                             |
| FQA/CGA             | 0.058ª               | 0.072ª                | 0.078ª                          | 0.099ª                            | 0.049ª             | 1.95 NS                            | 345***                              |
|                     | 0.03-0.08            | 0.02 - 0.14           | 0.06-0.12                       | 0.05-0.15                         | 0.03-0.09          | -                                  | (99.22%)                            |
| 4-&5-CQA            | 5.80ª                | 4.15 <sup>b</sup>     | 3.21 °                          | 1.74 <sup>d</sup>                 | 1.37 <sup>d</sup>  | 80.3***                            | 63.7***                             |
|                     | 4.4-6.9              | 3.3 - 4.6             | 2.6 - 3.7                       | 1.3 - 2.2                         | 1.2 - 1.6          | (91.7%)                            | (8.0%)                              |
| 3-CQA               | 0.532ª               | 0.257 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.134°                          | 0.073 <sup>d</sup>                | 0.026 <sup>e</sup> | 158***                             | 38.8***                             |
| -                   | 0.43-0.66            | 0.24-0.31             | 0.12 - 0.15                     | 0.04 - 0.14                       | 0.020 - 0.032      | (95.5%)                            | (4.1%)                              |
| 4-&5-CQA/CQA        | 0.914ª               | 0.941 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.959°                          | 0.960°                            | 0.981 <sup>d</sup> | 22.9***                            | 73.2***                             |
|                     | 0.87 - 0.93          | 0.91 - 0.95           | 0.95 - 0.97                     | 0.94 - 0.98                       | 0.97 - 0.99        | (75.3%)                            | (23.7%)                             |
| 4,5-diCQA           | 0.596ª               | 0.512ª                | 0.325 <sup>b</sup>              | 0.214°                            | 0.025 <sup>d</sup> | 45.5***                            | 22.0***                             |
|                     | 0.36 - 0.71          | 0.41 - 0.69           | 0.28 - 0.42                     | 0.09 - 0.40                       | 0.012 - 0.045      | (85.4%)                            | (12.8%)                             |
| 3,5-diCQA           | 0.321ª               | 0.254 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.227 <sup>b</sup>              | 0.166 <sup>b</sup>                | 0.007°             | 27.3***                            | 42.1***                             |
|                     | 0.24-0.39            | 0.24-0.29             | 0.14 - 0.30                     | 0.08 - 0.40                       | 0.002 - 0.015      | (78.3%)                            | (20.3%)                             |
| 3.4-diCOA           | 0.262ª               | 0.204 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.122°                          | 0.071 <sup>d</sup>                | 0.005 <sup>e</sup> | 60.6***                            | 20.2***                             |
|                     | 0.22 - 0.30          | 0.15 - 0.28           | 0.08 - 0.17                     | 0.02 - 0.14                       | 0.004 - 0.007      | (88.6%)                            | (9.8%)                              |
| 4.5-diCOA/diCOA     | 0.501 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.527 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.488 <sup>b</sup>              | 0.481 <sup>b</sup>                | 0.657ª             | 12.6***                            | 4.15***                             |
|                     | 0.40-0.55            | 0.43-0.59             | 0.58 - 0.74                     | 0.41 - 0.54                       | 0.58 - 0.74        | (52.8%)                            | (24.2%)                             |
| 3,5-diCQA/diCQA     | 0.273 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.264 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.332ª                          | 0.371ª                            | 0.184°             | 14.5***                            | 7.18***                             |
|                     | 0.25-0.31            | 0.25 - 0.28           | 0.27 - 0.38                     | 0.27 - 0.48                       | 0.08 - 0.29        | (60.2%)                            | (26.8%)                             |
| 3.4-diCOA/diCOA     | 0.225ª               | 0.209 <sup>a, b</sup> | 0.180 <sup>a, b</sup>           | 0.148 <sup>b</sup>                | 0.159 <sup>b</sup> | 4.09**                             | 7.02***                             |
| s, ruie Qrivaie Qri | 0.19-0.28            | 0.18-0.29             | 0.15-0.21                       | 0.12 - 0.20                       | 0.06-0.29          | (28.3%)                            | (60.2%)                             |
| 5-FQA               | 0.382ª               | 0.296 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.253ª                          | 0.200 <sup>a, b</sup>             | 0.064 <sup>b</sup> | 5.14**                             | 271***                              |
|                     | 0.18-0.66            | 0.05 - 0.63           | 0.17 - 0.48                     | 0.05 - 0.35                       | 0.036 - 0.12       | (37.0%)                            | (62.3%)                             |
| 4-FQA               | 0.049ª               | 0.115 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.091 <sup>b</sup>              | 0.036ª                            | 0.011ª             | 11 5***                            | 19 6***                             |
|                     | 0.015<br>0.02-0.10   | 0.05 - 0.23           | 0.04 - 0.13                     | 0.020<br>0.01-0.05                | 0.007 - 0.016      | (67.3%)                            | (32.7%)                             |
| 3-FOA               | 0.02 0.10<br>0.036ª  | 0.020 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.000°                          | 0.000°                            | 0.000°             | 18 7***                            | 89 6***                             |
| J-1 QA              | 0.019-0.064          | 0.020<br>0.0-0.041    | 0.0-0.0                         | 0.000                             | 0.000              | (71.3%)                            | (27.7%)                             |
| 5-FQA/FQA           | 0.818ª               | $0.662^{b}$           | $0.734^{a,b}$                   | 0.810ª                            | 0.849ª             | 5 27**                             | 70 0***                             |
|                     | 0.76_0.86            | 0.41 - 0.81           | 0.754<br>0.62-0.82              | 0.77_0.91                         | 0.76_0.90          | (37.2%)                            | (60.2%)                             |
| 4-FQA/FQA           | 0.106 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.41-0.01             | 0.02-0.02<br>0.266 <sup>b</sup> | $0.190^{a,b}$                     | 0.151 <sup>a</sup> | 5 49**                             | 72 5***                             |
|                     | 0.100<br>$0.07_0.13$ | 0.18_0.50             | 0.18_0.38                       | 0.09_0.23                         | 0.10_0.24          | (38.4%)                            | (50,1%)                             |
| 3-FQA/FQA           | 0.07-0.13            | 0.10-0.59             | 0.10-0.38                       | 0.09-0.23                         | 0.10-0.24          | 42 5***                            | 20 6***                             |
|                     | 0.06 0.13            | 0.040                 |                                 | 0.000                             |                    | (84.494)                           | (13.5%)                             |
|                     | 0.00 - 0.13          | 0.00-0.07             | 0.0-0.0                         | 0.0-0.0                           | 0.0 - 0.0          | (04.470)                           | (13.370)                            |

\*\*\* Very highly significant (P < 0.001)

<sup>a</sup> For explanation of abbreviations see Materials and methods (plant material)

Within-group variation was detected for all traits studied (Table 1). Its relative importance, as regards the general variation, varied among traits from 4% (3-CQA) to 99% (FQA/CGA). Some trends can be highlighted: within-group variation was lower for CQA isomer contents than for FQA and diCQA isomer contents, and was lower for isomer contents than for ratios. Otherwise, within-group variation was related to species average (CGA, CQA, 4-&5-CQA) in some cases and was similar in all groups (diCQA, 4,5-diCQA) or group-dependent (3,5-diCQA) in other cases.

 
 Table 2 Quantitative effects of hybrid, harvest year, and interaction on chlorogenic acid contents and ratios. Factorial contributions are in brackets

|                 | Hybrid $df = 11, 48$               | Year $df = 1, 11$                  | Interaction $df = 11, 48$           |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| CGA             | 48.4***                            | 0.25 NS                            | 7.80***                             |
| CQA             | (77.7%)<br>71.4***<br>(77.80/)     | _<br>1.49 NS                       | (22.3%)<br>11.0***<br>(22.2%)       |
| diCQA           | (77.8%)<br>23.5***                 | 24.7***                            | (22.2%)<br>2.35*                    |
| FQA             | (05.5%)<br>$121^{***}$<br>(71.29%) | (26.9%)<br>$20.8^{***}$<br>(18.6%) | (7.8%)<br>9.48***                   |
| CQA/CGA         | (71.5%)<br>82.2***<br>(42.7%)      | (18.0%)<br>66.4***<br>(40.29%)     | (22.0%)<br>8.63***                  |
| diCQA/CGA       | (42.776)<br>56.3***<br>(73.2%)     | (49.3%)<br>54.0***<br>(24.0%)      | (3.076)<br>2.06*<br>(2.8%)          |
| FQA/CGA         | (73.276)<br>166***<br>(68.2%)      | (24.076)<br>15.3***<br>(17.8%)     | (2.876)<br>18.0***<br>(14.1%)       |
| 5-& 4-CQA       | (08.276)<br>77.8***<br>(77.7%)     | (17.876)<br>1.14 NS                | (14.170)<br>12.0***<br>(22.3%)      |
| 3-CQA           | (77.776)<br>21.5***<br>(61.3%)     | 8.46*                              | (22.576)<br>$4.60^{***}$<br>(21.6%) |
| 5-& 4-CQA/CQA   | (01.570)<br>55.6***<br>(84.3%)     | 4.63 NS                            | 6.07***<br>(15.7%)                  |
| 4,5-diCQA       | 21.6***<br>(74.4%)                 | 13.9**<br>(17.0%)                  | 2.18*                               |
| 3,5-diCQA       | 22.0***                            | (17.070)<br>21.0***<br>(27.4%)     | 2.78**                              |
| 3,4-diCQA       | (32.070)<br>13.9***<br>(44.8%)     | (27.170)<br>29.0***<br>(43.5%)     | 2.69**<br>(11.7%)                   |
| 4,5-diCQA/diCQA | 4.26***                            | 4.90*<br>(23.1%)                   | 1.50 NS                             |
| 3,5-diCQA/diCQA | 5.54***                            | 0.026 NS                           | 2.55*<br>(40.5%)                    |
| 3,4-diCQA/diCQA | 8.50***<br>(52.1%)                 | 6.27*<br>(18.9%)                   | 3.09**<br>(29.1%)                   |
| 5-FQA           | 121***<br>(76.3%)                  | 20.6***<br>(15.5%)                 | 7.45***<br>(8.2%)                   |
| 4-FQA           | 65.1***<br>(62.4%)                 | 4.49*<br>(8.9%)                    | 15.8***<br>(28.7%)                  |
| 3-FQA           | 261***<br>(73.4%)                  | 12.2**<br>(13.1%)                  | 24.9***<br>(13.5%)                  |
| 5-FQA/FQA       | 173***<br>(67.3%)                  | 0.074 NS                           | 42.7***<br>(32.7%)                  |
| 4-FQA/FQA       | 232***<br>(69.7%)                  | 0.001 NS                           | 51.2***<br>(30.3%)                  |
| 3-FQA/FQA       | 205***<br>(91.6%)                  | 4.58 NS<br>-                       | 10.4***<br>(8.4%)                   |

\*Significat (0.01 <  $P \le 0.05$ ); \*\* highly significant (0.001 <  $P \le 0.01$ ); \*\*\* very highly significant (P < 0.001). NS, Not significant

Some environmental effects (between years 1995 and 1997) were also studied. Between-tree differences were recorded again for all isomer contents (Table 2), but in particular, most traits showed both year and interaction effects. Some traits, mainly ratios, showed only interaction effects, whereas the 4,5-diCQA/diCQA ratio presented a year effect without interaction. The importance of environmental effects (years + interactions) varied between traits from 8.4% (3-FQA/FQA) to 57% (CQA/CGA). In general, minor isomers showed greater environmental effects.

# Quantitative inheritance of total chlorogenic acid content (CGA)

Chlorogenic acid contents differed between parental species (Table 1): CGA content of green beans of DEW was 8.0% dmb, i.e. about 5 times that of PSE. In the  $F_1$  hybrids, CGA content was similar to that of the midparental species and differed significantly from those of parental species. CGA content in BCPSE was intermediate between  $F_1$  and PSE averages, whereas CGA content in BCDEW was between  $F_1$  and DEW values. This suggests an additivity hypothesis for this trait, as can be verified in Fig. 1.

# Quantitative inheritance of caffeoylquinic acid content (CQA)

CQA levels represented 79% and 93% of the CGA content in DEW and PSE, respectively, and these values are significantly different (Table 1). Nevertheless, the quantitative inheritance of the ratio was not simple. Indeed,  $F_1$  and BCDEW showed a ratio close to that of DEW, but the BCPSE ratio (72%) was in particular significantly lower than the DEW ratio. Conversely, quantitative inheritance of CQA content was simpler than for the ratio. CQA content, which was 4.5-fold higher in DEW than in PSE, showed an intermediate value between parental species in  $F_1$  hybrids,



Fig. 1 Regression analysis showing additivity of CGA content

Table 3 Biochemical traitsshowing additivity either withoutor with data transformation.Non-additive traits are notpresented. Correlation coefficient(r) and its significance fromregression analysis as in Fig. 1

| Biochemical trait | Transformation              | r     | Significance |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------|
| COA               | No transformation $(y = x)$ | 0.981 | **           |
| 4-&5-CQA/CQA      | No transformation $(y = x)$ | 0.965 | **           |
| 4-&5-COA          | No transformation $(y = x)$ | 0.984 | **           |
| 3-CQA             | $y = \sqrt{x}$              | 0.984 | **           |
| DiCQA             | No transformation $(y = x)$ | 0.993 | ***          |
| 4,5-diCQA         | No transformation $(y = x)$ | 0.993 | ***          |
| 3,5-diCOA         | $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x}^2$ | 0.998 | ***          |
| 3,4-diCQA         | No transformation $(y = x)$ | 0.998 | ***          |
| FQA               | $y = x^2$                   | 0.994 | ***          |
| 5-FQA             | $y = x^2$                   | 0.984 | **           |

\*\* Highly significant (0.001  $< P \le 0.01$ ); \*\*\* very highly significant (P = 0.001)

suggesting additivity. This was confirmed by values observed in the two backcrosses (Table 3).

The contents of 4- and 5-CQA isomers varied from 1.37% dmb in PSE to 5.80% dmb in DEW, and the additivity hypothesis fitted the data well (Table 3). 4- and 5-CQA levels represented 91% of the CQA content in DEW and 98% in PSE, the two values being significantly different (Table 1). Quantitative inheritance of the ratio seems additive (Table 3), but discrepancies between observed and expected values were larger than for CGA and CQA. This is probably due to the relative importance of the within-group variation associated with the small number of trees used to estimate each average.

The 3-CQA content varied from 0.026% dmb in PSE to 0.532% dmb in DEW. Its quantitative inheritance was not additive. In fact, only the square root of its content was additive (Table 3).

An inter-group and curvilinear relations was emphasized between 3-CQA and 4- and 5-CQA contents (Fig. 2a). The allometric model ( $y = ax^b$ ) statistically accounted for 89% of the variance and the b parameter was close to 2 (b = 1.803), meaning that the relation is quadratic. The curvilinearity explains why the 4- and 5-CQA/CQA ratio varied between groups. Conversely, no relation was observed within groups (F<sub>1,29</sub> = 1.93; P = 0.17).

Quantitative inheritance of dicaffeoylquinic acid content (diCQA)

DiCQA levels represented 15% and 2.5% of the CGA content in green beans of DEW and PSE, respectively; these values being significantly different (Table 1). Quantitative inheritance of the ratio was not additive: the averages of BCDEW,  $F_1$ , and BCPSE hybrids did not differ from the DEW average. Note the presence of a BCPSE hybrid in which the diCQA level accounted for up to 32% of CGA content. No explanation can be given for this exception as for all other chlorogenic acids, it had values expected for a BCPSE hybrid.

Quantitative inheritance of diCQA content was simpler than that of the ratio. diCQA content was 29-fold higher in DEW, and  $F_1$  hybrids showed an intermediate value between parental species. Additivity was confirmed by values observed in the two backcrosses (Table 3).

The 4,5-diCQA content varied from 0.025 in PSE to 0.596 in DEW (Table 1).  $F_1$  hybrids showed an average intermediate between those of the parental species, and additivity was confirmed in the backcrosses (Table 3). These contents represented 50% and 66% of diCQA content in DEW and PSE, respectively, and these ratios were significantly different (Table 1). Hybrid groups (BCDEW, BCPSE, and  $F_1$ ) did not differ from DEW for the 4,5diCQA proportion.

The 3,5-diCQA content was 46-fold higher in DEW than in PSE (Table 1). Additivity can be assumed, but discrepancies between expected and observed values were not negligible. In contrast, additivity became evident when data were transformed using  $y = x^2$  (Table 3). This isomer represented 27% and 18% of diCQA content in DEW and PSE, respectively, and these ratios were significantly different (Table 1). Genetic behavior of the 3,5-diCQA ratio was complex: BCDEW did not differ from DEW, whereas F<sub>1</sub> and BCPSE showed the highest values (35%).

The 3,4-diCQA content was 52-fold higher in DEW than in PSE (Table 1). Table 3 shows clearly that additivity can be fully accepted for this isomer content. Proportions of 3,4-diCQA in diCQA were similar to, but slightly lower than, proportions of 3,5-diCQA. As for other ratios, quantitative inheritance was not simple: the additivity hypothesis can be accepted for  $F_1$  and BCDEW hybrids and rejected for BCPSE hybrids.

An inter-group and linear relation was noted between 3,4-diCQA and 3,5-diCQA contents (Fig. 2b). The allometric model  $[y = a(x + c)^b]$  statistically accounted for 97% of the variance and the b parameter was close to 2 (b = 2.17), indicating that the relation is quadratic. Parameter c was necessary to linearize the relation using logarithmic transformation, and this means that 3,4-diCQA could theoretically be present



Fig. 2a-f Relations between isomer contents within CGA classes

even if 3,5-diCQA is absent. A within-group relation was also detected ( $F_{1,28} = 26.3$ ; P = 0.00002) with different slopes (parallelism test:  $F_{4,24} = 9.33$ ; P = 0.0001). In fact, slopes of within-group relations seemed close to the tangent to the curve, i.e., increased linearly with the 3,5-diCQA content.

An inter-group and curvilinear relation was also recorded between 4,5-diCQA and 3,5-diCQA contents (Fig. 2c). The allometric model [ $y = a (x + c)^b$ ] statistically explained 98% of the variance, and the b parameter was again close to 2 (b = 1.79). Note that the c parameter had the same value as in the 3,4-diCQA-3,5-diCQA relation. A within-group relation was again noted ( $F_{1, 28} = 14.1$ ; P = 0.0008), with different slopes ( $F_{4, 24} = 3.48$ ; P = 0.022).

Another inter-group, but linear, relation was observed between 3,4-diCQA and 4,5-diCQA contents (Fig. 2d). Linearity can be easily explained when we consider the two other relations as quadratic (b = 2). Again, a within-group relation was noted ( $F_{1, 28} = 12.0$ ; P = 0.0017), but with a common slope ( $F_{4, 24} = 1.13$ ; P = 0.37).

Quadratic relations explain why the three diCQA ratios differed between species and are consistent with our results on 3,5-diCQA additivity after transformation.

Quantitative inheritance of feruloylquinic acid content (FQA)

The FQA level represented 7% of the CGA content on average, and no significant difference was observed

0.8

0.8

0.7

between groups for the ratio (Table 1). In contrast, FQA content differed greatly between groups, especially between DEW and PSE. As for 3,5-diCQA, additivity can be recorded when we take into account the transformed values using " $y = x^{2}$ " (Table 3).

The 5-FQA isomer accounted for the same proportion of FQA (83%) in the two parental species (Table 1). As expected,  $F_1$  hybrids and BCPSE hybrids showed the same ratio as the parental species, but BCDEW hybrids gave a significantly lower ratio. In contrast with the ratio, 5-FQA content varied greatly between species, with PSE presenting a sixfold lower ratio (Table 1). As for CQA, additivity was accepted for 5-FQA (Table 3).

The proportion of 4-FQA in FQA did not differ significantly between parental species (Table 1). Nevertheless, the ratio increased linearly from PSE (15%) to BCDEW (30%). More surprising, the 4-FQA content showed a genetic behavior close to the ratio: content increased linearly from PSE to BCDEW, but DEW had a twofold lower content than BCDEW.

The 3-FQA isomer was a minor isomer. It was absent in PSE, BCPSE and  $F_1$ , and represented only 7.6% of FQA, i.e., 0.4% of the CGA content in DEW. Nevertheless, this isomer is genetically interesting: indeed, results could be interpreted as a dominance of the absence of 3-FQA. In addition, when we assume 50% heterozygotes in BCDEW, we expect a BCDEW average intermediate between those of  $F_1$  and DEW, as observed (Table 1).

A relation between 5-FQA and 4-FQA was detected in four groups. It was linear, but weak (only 37% of the 4-FQA content variation was statistically explained by 5-FQA content variation). In fact, two situations can be defined: (1) absence of a relation within PSE, BCPSE, and  $F_1$ ; and (2) presence of a relation for BCDEW and DEW, i.e., in groups with the highest 5-FQA content. Nevertheless, the relation was different within DEW and BCDEW: the slope was threefold higher in BCDEW than in DEW (Fig. 2e).

A linear relation was also recorded between 3-FQA and 5-FQA for trees with 3-FQA, i.e., in DEW and in BCDEW. The two within-group relations did not differ in slope ( $F_{1,9} = 0.11$ ; P = 0.75) or intersect ( $F_{1,10} = 3.00$ ; P = 0.11). A common relation was then estimated (Fig. 3a), for which the intersect can be considered as equal to 0 (t = 0.484; df = 11; P = 0.637).

Relations between CGA classes

An almost linear between-group relation existed between diCQA and CQA content (Fig. 3a), but PSE was unrelated. The allometric model  $[y = a(x)^b]$ statistically explained 81% of the variance and the b parameter was again close to 1 (b = 0.95) meaning that the linear model could be appropriate. A within-group relation was noted ( $F_{1,22} = 4.63$ ;



Fig. 3a, b Relations between CGA class contents

P = 0.043), with a common slope ( $F_{3,19} = 0.29$ ; P = 0.830).

Another allometric, but weaker, relation was seen between groups for FQA and CQA contents (Fig. 3b). As before, CQA content seems to be over-represented in PSE. Two fittings were applied: (1) with BCPSE,  $F_1$ , BCDEW, and DEW groups using y = a (x)<sup>b</sup>, and (2) with all groups using y = a (x + c)<sup>b</sup>. The two fittings gave similar values of b (0.437 and 0.501, respectively), both close to 0.5. The relation seems to be of the square root type. This is consistent with the fact that FQA must be transformed using X<sup>2</sup> to become additive, while CQA is additive without transformation. Note that CQA additivity would be better if we consider that CQA is over-represented in PSE (Fig. 1).

### Discussion

An overview of chlorogenic acid biosynthetic pathways is necessary to interpret our results. In coffee trees, all biosynthetic pathways of CGA formation occur in the leaves (Colonna 1986). CGA is derived from phenylalanine (Villegaas and Kojima 1986). The caffeic acid unit, which exists as caffeoyl-D-glucose, reacts with the quinate unit to give the 5-CQA isomer. The enzyme catalyzing the reaction is the quinate hydroxycinnamoyl transferase (CQT). The isomeric monosubstituted quinic acids, e.g. 3-CQA and 4-CQA, are produced by enzymatic trans-esterification of 5-CQA.

The 3,5-diCQA was formed by caffeate substitution of 5-CQA in the 3-position by a chlorogenic acid caffeoyl transferase. The other two disubstituted quinates, e.g., 3,4diCQA and 4,5-diCQA, were also formed by enzymatic *trans*-esterification of 3,5-diCQA (Friedman 1997). Therefore, all of the diCQA isomers derived from 5-CQA.

In contrast, FQA isomer formation was independent of 5-CQA synthesis. A quinate transferase other than CQT catalyzes the reaction of feruloyl-CoA with quinate. The 5-FQA is formed first, whereas the 3- and 4-FQA are derived from *trans*-esterification (Ulbrich and Zenk 1979). In CQA and FQA, the migration of the cinnamoyl group is an intramolecular process which occurs in the sequence  $5 \Leftrightarrow 4$  and  $5 \Leftrightarrow 3$ (Hanson 1965). Useful descriptions can be found in Morigushi et al. (1988) and Tanaka and Kojima (1991).

CGA are then exported to beans where their accumulation is maximum when the fruits are green. During fruit maturation, the CGA level decreases (Petnga 1986). Thus, from their biosynthesis in leaves to their accumulation in beans at complete maturity, a lot of processing occurs which leads to quantitative variation in their contents.

Relations between isomers within CGA classes

Relations between isomers within CGA classes seem to result from the isomerization processes. In the CQA and diCQA classes, our results agreed well with known pathways. The relations between 4-FQA and 5-FQA in the BCDEW and DEW groups is also due to the isomerization process. Its absence in the PSE, BCPSE and  $F_1$  groups could be explained by a lack of accuracy due to the low content of 4-FQA in such hybrids. This is also true for the relation between 3-FQA and 5-FQA (3-FQA was not detected in the PSE, BCPSE, and  $F_1$ groups).

Changes in relations are more surprising: some relations were linear, whereas others were curvilinear. Differences appeared even for isomers belonging to the same CGA class. As an example, in the case of the diCQA class, 4,5-diCQA and 3,4-diCQA were curvilinearly related to 3,5-diCQA, while 3,4-diCQA was linearly related to 4,5-diCQA. A linear relation is expected from enzymatic reactions at equilibrium: the product/reactant ratio was indeed constant. Deviation from linearity could be due to the involvement of 3,5diCQA in other specific pathways. Indeed, 3,5-diCQA should react with caffeine to form a complex (Horman and Viani 1971; Kappeler et al. 1987; Baumann and Röhrig 1989). The curvilinear relation between 3-CQA and 4- and 5-CQA could be similarly explained: 5-CQA, which contributes mainly to the 4- and 5-CQA peak (Clifford 1985b), is known to complex with caffeine (Baumann et al. 1993). In contrast, the linear relation between 4,5-diCQA and 3,4-diCQA suggests that 4,5-diCQA and 3,4-diCQA would either not complex with caffeine or would complex at a much lower rate.

The slope difference observed for the relation between 4-FQA and 5-FQA in BCDEW and DEW is strange. Because the intersect of straight lines was nil, the slope can be interpreted as a 4-FQA/5-FQA ratio, which would be relatively constant within groups (as expected) but would differ greatly between BCDEW and DEW. Nevertheless, parental species similarity in 4-FQA/5-FQA ratios complicates the interpretation problems of such results, for which further studies appear necessary.

Relations between CGA classes

The fact that we summed up the individual level of each of the three isomers for each respective CGA class in order to obtain CQA content, diCQA content, or FQA content has a biological significance when biosynthesis pathways are taken into account. For example, CQA content actually reflects the quantity of 5-CQA synthesized before isomerization.

A relation between CQA and diCQA contents is again expected from our knowledge of biosynthesis: diCQA derives directly from CQA. Conversely, the relation between FQA content and CQA content is indirect: FQA does not derive from CQA, but both 5-CQA and 5-FQA synthesis requires a common substrate, the quinate unit. Indirect effects also explain why the FQA-CQA relation was weaker than its diCQA-CQA counterpart. Similarly, the absence of a relation between FQA and diCQA contents is in agreement with the larger distance between their biosynthesis pathways.

The two between-class relations were not linear. Concavity would mean that diCQA and FQA are implicated in biosynthesis pathways other than that for CQA. FQA is known to be involved in lignin biosynthesis pathways (Hahlbrock and Grisebach 1979) and diCQA plays a role in cafeic acid detoxification (Colonna 1979).

Genetic control of the 3-FQA isomer content

The absence of 3-FQA in PSE, BCPSE and  $F_1$  could be an artefact due to a lack of accuracy in measuring individuals with very low contents. Nevertheless, 3-FQA was also absent from BCDEW hybrids for which 5-FQA content was high enough to suggest a detectable 3-FQA content. 3-FQA isomer content seems to be controlled by one major gene with a dominant allele, leading to the absence of 3-FQA. Parental species would be FF and ff for PSE and DEW, respectively, whereas  $F_1$  hybrids would be Ff . All BCPSE would have the F allele, leading to absence of 3-FQA. In contrast, BCDEW hybrids would be Ff or ff in a 1:1 proportion, explaining why the average 3-FQA content of BCDEW (0.020%dmb) was intermediate between  $F_1$  (0.00% dmb) and DEW (0.36%dmb).

Physiologically, a compound may fail to accumulate because of the: (1) absence of the enzyme responsible for its biosynthesis, (2) absence of transport from leaves (biosynthesis tissues) to beans (storage tissues), and (3) presence of an enzyme responsible for its transformation before transport, i.e., in leaves or later in fruits. Nevertheless, the dominance of absence favors the third hypothesis. Further studies on leaves and fruits during maturation would provide some explanations.

### Additivity

Genetic inheritance of CGA isomer contents showed three types of genetic behavior. The first case concerned traits showing additivity without data transformation: CGA, CQA, diCQA, 5-CQA, 4,5-diCQA, and 3,4diCOA. The second case concerned traits for which the additive model was present after data transformation. Our results are consistent with previous interpretations on the relations between isomers or between classes. For example, with 5-CQA being additive and 3-CQA being non-linearly related to 5-CQA, the inverse transformation to obtain additivity for 3-CQA is expected to be efficient. We can verify that additivity after data transformation concerns isomers (3,4-diCQA, 4,5diCQA, 3-CQA) and classes (FQA) which are nonlinearly related to true additive traits. An additive model after data transformation has already been encountered in the same interspecific cross for caffeine (Barre et al. 1998). In this example, additivity of the square root of caffeine content has been observed. The third case concerns the contents for which additivity was not or partly observed. For 3-FQA, which was discussed above, additivity was verified for  $F_1$ , BCDEW and DEW. The situation was more complex for 4-FQA (PSE = BCPSE = DEW < F<sub>1</sub> = BCDEW) and cannot be interpreted easily.

Lastly, note the absence of additivity for most ratios except 4- and 5-CQA/CQA. Here too, curvilinear relations explain well the absence of additivity for most ratios.

### Environmental effects and interactions

Genetic effects represented 78% of the variation in the best cases, thereby showing the relative importance of

environmental effects and interactions. Environmental effects and interactions would have probably been more important in crosses of less genetically differentiated species. These effects include inter-annual climatic variation during bean maturation, but also maturity variation at harvest and bean-drying conditions after harvest. In particular, the greater importance of interactions for diCQA could be explained by their degradation during bean drying, which would change between years. Observed interactions constitute an example of "genotype×environment" interactions. This implies that CGA are sensitive to all types of environmental changes and, consequently, interactions should exist when genotypes are growing in different regions.

## **Conclusions and prospects**

The consequences for breeding are many and varied: (1) additivity implies the possibility of choosing parents to obtain an expected average in progenies; (2) genetic diversity is the main source of variation allowing clonal selection; (3) the "hybrid-year" interaction is not important (22% of effects) indicating that observations should be recorded over several years to obtain a good estimate of the phenotypic average; (4) the presence of "genotype × environment" interactions should lead to a multilocal selection for coffee tree varieties for taste quality improvement. However, choosing hybrids with low CGA content (i.e., having good cup taste) would conflict with the resistance role played by high CGA content.

Biosynthetically speaking, data on isomers and between-class relations confirmed the presently accepted pathways both in (1) interdependence of each CGA class and (2) formation of each isomer within each class. From a genetics point of view the results showed that the 3-FQA isomer content was a trait governed by a single major gene dominant for 3-FQA absence. Other isomers showed different additive patterns. This study was the first using interspecific progenies to explain both genetic and physiological aspects of the CGA trait for a particular aim: comprehension and improvement of coffee cup taste or disease resistance.

As CGA is a complex trait implicated in aroma, physiology and genetics, further studies are needed. Study of the relations between CGA and other interesting traits such as morphological traits (leaf area, bean weight, etc.), phenological traits (fructification cycle period, etc.) and biochemical traits (sucrose, trigonelline, free amino acid contents, etc.) would lead to a better planning of diploid coffee tree breeding programs. Molecular tools would be helpful in screening for markers near interesting quantitative trait loci (QTL) to develop marker-assisted selection of plantlets.

### References

- Amorin HV, Teixeira AA, Guercio MA, Cruz VF, Malavolta E (1974) Chemistry of Brazilian green coffee and the quality of the beverage II phenolic compounds. Turrialba 24:217–221
- Anthony F, Clifford MN, Noirot M (1993) Biochemical diversity in the genus *Coffea* L.: chlorogenic acids, caffeine and mozambioside contents. Gen Res Crop Evol 40:61–70
- Balyaya KJ, Clifford MN (1995) Individual chlorogenic acids and caffeine contents in commercial grades of wet and dry processed Indian green *Robusta* coffee. J Food Sci Technol 32:104–108
- Barre P, Akaffou S, Louarn J, Charrier A, Hamon S, Noirot M (1998) Inheritance of caffeine and heteroside contents in an interspecific cross between a cultivated coffee species Coffea liberica var 'dewevrei' and a wild species caffeine free C. pseudozanguebariae. Theor Appl Genet 96:306–311
- Baumann TW, Röhrig L (1989) Formation and intracellular accumulation of caffeine and chlorogenic acid in suspension cultures of *Coffea arabica*. Phytochemistry 28:2667–2669
- Baumann TW, Mösli SS, Schulthess BH, Aearts RJ (1993) Interdependence of caffeine and chlorogenic acid (5-CQA) metabolism in coffee. Proc Int Congr ASIC 15:134–140
- Clifford MN (1985a) Chemical and physical aspects of green coffee and coffee products. In: Clifford MN, Wilson KC (eds) Coffee, botany, biochemistry and production of beans and beverage. Avi Publ, Westport, Conn., pp 305–374
- Clifford MN (1985b) Chlorogenic acids. In: Clarke RJ, Macrae R (eds) Coffee, I: Chemistry. Elsevier Applied Science Publ, Amsterdam, pp 153–202
- Clifford MN, Jarvis T (1988) The chlorogenic acids content of green robusta coffee beans as possible index of geographic origin. Food Chem 29:291–298
- Clifford MN, Ohiokpehai O (1982) Coffee astringency. Presented at a Royal Society of Chemistry Special Techniques Group Meeting. Metal Box, Wantage
- Clifford MN, Staniforth PS (1977) A critical comparison of six spectrophotometric methods for measuring chlorogenic acids in green coffee beans. Proc Int Congr ASIC 8:109–113
- Clifford MN, Williams T, Bridson T (1989) Chlorogenic acids and caffeine as possible taxonomic criteria in *Coffea* and *Psilanthus*. Phytochemistry 28:829–838
- Clifford MN, Kellard B, Ah-Sing E (1989b) Caffeoyl-tyrosine from green robust coffee beans. Phytochemistry 28:1989–1990
- Colonna JP (1979) L'acide chlorogénique et les depsides de divers caféiers africains et malgaches: leur participation au métabolisme et leur signification biologique. PhD thesis, Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse
- Colonna JP (1986) Biosynthèse et renouvellement de l'acide chlorogénique et des depsides voisins dans le genre Coffea. Café Cacao Thé 30:83–92 and 247–258
- Correia MNG, Leitao MCA, Clifford MN (1995) Caffeoyl-tyrosine and Angola II as characteristic markers for Angolan robusta coffees. Food Chem 53:309–313
- De Maria CAB, Trugo LC, Moreira RFA, Werneck CC (1994) Composition of green coffee fractions and their contribution to the volatile profile formed during roasting. Food Chem 50:141–145
- Echandi E, Fernandez CE (1962) Relacion entre el contenido de acido chlorogenico y la resistencia a la Haga macana o cancer de los cafetos causados por *Ceratocystis fimbriata*. Turrialba 12:87–90
- Feldman JR, Ryder WS, Kung JT (1969) Importance of nonvolatile compounds to the flavour of coffee. J Agric Food Chem 17:733–739
- Friedman M (1997) Chemistry, biochemistry, and dietary role of potato polyphenols. A review. J Agric Food Chem 45:1523–1540
- Guyot B, Petnga E, Vincent JC (1988) Analyse qualitative d'un café *Coffea canephora* var 'robusta' en fonction de la maturité. Partie I. Evolution des caractéristiques physiques, chimiques et organoleptiques. Café Cacao Thé 32:229–242

- Guyot B, Gueule D, Manez JC, Perriot JJ, Giron J, Villain L (1996) Influence de l'altitude et de l'ombrage sur la qualité des cafés arabicas. Plantation Recherche Développement 3:272–280
- Guyot B, Christophe T, Gueule D (1997) Effet inhibiteur de l'acide caféyl 5-quinique sur la formation des pyrazines dans les réactions de Maillard. Proc Int Congr ASIC 17:169–175
- Hahlbrock K, Grisebach H (1979) Enzymatic controls in the biosynthesis of lignin and flavonoids. Annu Rev Plant Physiol 30:105–130
- Hanson KR (1965) Chlorogenic acid biosynthesis. Chemical synthesis and properties of the mono-*O*-cinnamoylquinic acids. Biochemistry 4:2719–2730
- Horman I, Viani R (1971) The caffeine-chlorogenate complex of coffee NMR study. Proc Int Congr ASIC 5:102–111
- Kappeler AW, Baumann TW, Greutert PD (1987) Complexation of purine alkaloids in the coffee plant. Proc Int Congr ASIC 12:247–253
- Ky C-L, Noirot M, Hamon S (1997) Comparison of five purification methods for chlorogenic acids in green coffee beans (*Coffea* sp.). J Agric Food Chem 45:786–790
- Leloup V, Louvrier A, Liardon R (1995) Degradation mechanisms of chlorogenic acids during roasting. Proc Int Congr ASIC 16:192–198
- Lentner C, Deatherage FE (1959) Organic acids in coffee in relation to the degree of roast. Food Res 24:483–492
- Louarn J (1982) Bilan des hybridations interspécifiques entre caféiers africains diploïdes en collection en Côte d'Ivoire. Proc Int Congr ASIC 10:375–383
- Louarn J (1992) La fertilité des hybridations interspécifiques et les relations génomiques entre caféiers diploïdes d'origine africaine (genre Coffea L. sous genre coffea). PhD thesis, ORSTOM, Paris
- Maier HG (1987) The acids of coffee. Proc Int Congr ASIC 12: 229–237
- Morigushi T, Villegas RJA, Kondo T, Kojima M (1988) Isolation of 1-O-trans-p-coumaroyl-β-D-glucopyranose from sweet potato roots and examination of its role in chlorogenic acid biosynthesis. Plant Cell Physiol 29:1221–1226
- Morishita H, Iwahashi H, Kido R (1989) Chlorogenic acids composition of green and roasted coffee beans. Bull Fac Educ Wakayama Univ Nat Sci 38:33–39
- Ohiokpehai O, Brumen G, Clifford MN (1982) The chlorogenic acids content of some peculiar green coffee beans and the implications for beverage quality. Proc Int Congr ASIC 10: 177–185
- Petnga E (1986) Application de l'analyse multidimensionnelle à la classification qualitative du café Robusta en fonction de la maturité. PhD thesis, Université des Sciences et Techniques du Languedoc, France
- Rakotomalala JJR (1992) Diversité biochimiques des caféiers, particularités des caféiers sauvages de la région malgache. PhD thesis, Université des Sciences et Techniques du Languedoc, France
- Rakotomalala JJR, Cros E, Charrier A, Anthony A, Noirot M (1993) Marqueur biochimique de la diversité des caféiers. Proc Int Congr ASIC 15:47–55
- Streuli H (1970) Alkaloidhaltige Genussmittel, Gewürze. In: Schormüller J (ed) Kaffee. Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York, p 55
- Tanaka M, Kojima M (1991) Purification and characterization of p-coumaryl-p-glucose hydroxylase of sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) roots. Arch Biochem Biophys 284:151–157
- Trugo LC, Macrae R (1984) A study of the effect of roasting on the chlorogenic acid composition of coffee using HPLC. Food Chem 15:219–227
- Ulbrich B, Zenk MH (1979) Partial purification and properties of hydroxycinnamoyl-CoA: quinate hydroxycinnamoyl transferase from higher plants. Phytochemistry 18:929–933
- Villegaas RJA, Kojima M (1986) Purification and characterization of hydroxycinnamoyl D-glucose. J Biol Chem 261:8729–8733
- Zuluaga JV, Valencia GA, Gonzales J (1971) Contribucion al estudio de la naturaleza de la resistencia del cafeto a *Ceratocystis fimbriata* (Ell. Halst.) Hunt. Cenicafé 22:43–70